	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/738,356	JACKSON, RICHARD CHARLES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jeff H. Aftergut</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>John Klos</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: all		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Atathiant		
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature - if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed how the prior art failed to teach the specified method of use for transforming a decorative scene. Noted the need to withdraw the non-elected method of making claims and cancel the same. Noted the need to correct claim 9 at line 5 to change "amatte" to --a matte--. Applicant agreed to the cancellation of the claims and the change in spellign via examiner's amendment.